PCT

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 6 : H04B 7/005	**A2**	**(11) International Publication Number:** WO 96/11534 **(43) International Publication Date:** 18 April 1996 (18.04.96)

(21) International Application Number: PCT/FI95/00553

(22) International Filing Date: 6 October 1995 (06.10.95)

(30) Priority Data:
944739   7 October 1994 (07.10.94)   FI

(71) Applicant *(for all designated States except US)*: NOKIA TELECOMMUNICATIONS OY [FI/FI]; Mäkkylän puistotie 1, FIN-02600 Espoo (FI).

(72) Inventor; and
(75) Inventor/Applicant *(for US only)*: HOTTINEN, Ari [FI/FI]; Kielotie 30-32 C 25, FIN-01300 Vantaa (FI).

(74) Agent: TEKNOPOLIS KOLSTER OY; c/o Oy Kolster Ab, Iso Roobertinkatu 23, P.O. Box 148, FIN-00121 Helsinki (FI).

(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, HU, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LK, LR, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, TJ, TM, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG), ARIPO patent (KE, MW, SD, SZ, UG).

Published
In English translation (filed in Finnish).
Without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report.

(54) Title: INTERFERENCE CANCELLATION METHOD, AND RECEIVER

(57) Abstract

The invention relates to an interference cancellation method and a receiver of a data transmission method, which receiver comprises means (14) for carrying out multiple access interference cancellation to the received signal, and means (21) for calculating a confidence coefficient for the estimates obtained from the received signal. For enabling a reliable interference cancellation, the receiver of the invention comprises means (14) for utilizing in the multiple access interference cancellation confidence coefficients calculated for the estimates of the interfering signals.

Interference cancellation method, and receiver

The present invention relates to an interference cancellation method in a data transmission system which
5   utilizes a multiple access interference cancellation method in which estimates of interfering signals are subtracted from the desired signal, and in which method a confidence coefficient is calculated for the estimates obtained from the received signal.

10   In the design and implementation of data transmission methods, an essential problem is simultaneous transmission and reception of signals of several simultaneous users, so that the signals cause as little interference to each other as possible. Owing to this fact
15   and the available transmission capacity, several different transmission protocols and multiple access methods have been developed, the most common of which in particular in mobile communication are the FDMA and the TDMA methods, and lately also the CDMA method.

20   The CDMA (Code Division Multiple Access) system is a multiple access method which is based on spread spectrum technology and whose application in cellular communication systems has lately been initiated along with the earlier FDMA (Frequency Division Multiple Access) and TDMA (Time
25   Division Multiple Access) technologies. The CDMA technology has several advantages over the earlier methods, such as simplicity of frequency planning and spectral efficiency.

In a CDMA method, the narrow-band data signal of
30   the user is multiplied by a spreading code of much wider bandwidth to a relatively wide traffic channel band. In the known experimental cellular network systems, the bandwidths used on traffic channels include, for example, 1,25 MHz, 10 MHz and 25 MHz. In the multiplying process,
35   the data signal spreads to the whole band used. All users

transmit simultaneously by using the same frequency band. A separate spreading code is employed for each connection between a base station and a subscriber terminal equipment, and the signals from the users can be identified from each other in the receivers on the basis of the spreading code of each connection. An attempt is made for choosing the spreading codes so that they are mutually orthogonal, i.e. they do not correlate with each other.

Correlators or adapted filters in CDMA receivers implemented in a conventional way are synchronized with the desired signal, which is identified on the basis of the spreading code. The data signal is returned in the receiver onto the original band by multiplying it by the same spreading code as in the transmission phase. The signals which have been multiplied by some other spreading code neither correlate nor return to the narrow band in an ideal case. They thus appear as noise from the point of view of the desired signal. The aim is thus to detect the signal of the desired user among several interfering signals. In practice, the spreading codes are not non-correlated, and the signals of other users complicate the detection of the desired signal by distorting the received signal. This interference caused by the users for each other is termed as multiple access interference.

A data transmission method employing TDMA multiple access system has several frequencies in use, each of which is divided into time slots in which the signals of the various users have been placed. Thus, each user has a time slot of his own. As the frequency range reserved for the system is usually limited, the same frequencies must be used in cells located a certain distance away. If high frequency efficiency is desired, this distance should be kept as small as possible. This results in different transmissions on the same frequencies interfering with

each other. Consequently, an interfering signal is heard in the receiver in a certain time slot in addition to the desired signal, which interfering signal originates in some other connection using the same frequency.

5   The single user detection method described above in connection with CDMA is not optimum because, in connection with the detection, it disregards information contained in the signals of other users. In addition, the conventional detection is unable to correct errors caused partly by
10   unorthogonal spreading codes and signal distortion on the radio path. An optimum receiver takes into consideration the information contained in the signals of all the users, and thus the signals can be detected in an optimum manner by using, for example, a Viterbi algorithm. In the CDMA
15   system, for example, an advantage of this detection method is that the situation, as far as the receiver is concerned, resembles a single user CDMA system in which the multiple access problem does not exist. For example, the near-far problem, typical for CDMA systems, does not
20   occur. The term near-far problem refers to a situation where a transmitter close to the receiver covers the transmitters further away by its transmission. The most serious weakness of the Viterbi algorithm is that the computational capacity it requires increases exponentially
25   as the number of users increases. For example, a system of ten users in which the bit rate is 100 kbit/s by QPSK modulation would require 105 million arithmetical operations per second for calculating the Viterbi algorithm. In practice, this constitutes a bar to the
30   implementation of an optimum receiver.

However, it is possible to approximate an optimum receiver by various methods. The prior art knows different kinds of methods for multiuser detection (MUD). The best-known methods include a linear multiuser detection, a
35   decorrelating detector and a multistage detector. These

methods are examined in closer detail in the references
Varanasi, Aazhang: Multistage detection for asynchronous
code division multiple access communications, IEEE
Transactions on communications, vol. 38, pp. 509-519, Apr
1990, Lupas, Verdu: Linear multiuser detectors for
synchronous code-division multiple access channels, IEEE
transactions on Information Theory, vol 35, no. 1, pp 123-
136, Jan 1989, and Lupas, Verdu: Near-far resistance of
multiuser detectors in asynchronous channels, IEEE
Transactions on communications, vol 38, Apr 1990. However,
these methods are also associated with operations, such as
matrix inversion operations, requiring a lot of
computational capacity.

A second way for solving the problems caused by the
multiple access interference is to use interference
cancellation (IC) methods. In IC type solutions, the
purpose is to detect the users one by one, often in order
of magnitude, so that the influence of the signals of
users already detected is eliminated from the received
signal prior to detection of the subsequent user. As an
example of such a solution, reference is made to the
European patent publication 491668, applying the method
described above in the CDMA cellular mobile communication
system. The interference cancellation methods are
computationally more efficient than the algorithms of the
MUD type, but their performance is weaker particularly
during poor reception conditions, such as a fading
multipath channel, often having low signal levels.

Multiple access interference cancellation methods
similar to the ones described above can also be applied to
TDMA systems. They do, however, have the deficiency of a
deteriorating performance in case the interfering signals
have bad estimates. In the worst case, multiple access
interference cancellation may even increase interference,
if the interfering signals are subtracted on the basis of

wrong estimates.

It is the purpose of the present invention to implement an interference cancellation method by means of which the aforementioned problems can be avoided, and which does not make the receiver substantially any more complicated, and which can be applied to both the TDMA and CDMA multiuser methods.

This object is achieved by a method set forth in the introduction, which method is characterized in that confidence coefficients calculated for the estimates of the interfering signals are utilized in the multiple access interference cancellation method.

In addition, the invention relates to a receiver of a data transmission method, which receiver comprises means for carrying out multiple access interference cancellation to the received signal, and means for calculating a confidence coefficient for the estimates obtained from the received signal. The receiver of the invention is characterized in that it comprises means for utilizing in the multiple access interference cancellation the confidence coefficients calculated for the estimates of the interfering signals.

Thus, the basic idea of the invention is to take into account the confidence coefficient of the estimate of the interfering signal prior to carrying out interference cancellation. Each estimated symbol can be weighted by a confidence coefficient which is calculated separately and which obtains values within the range 0..1. Unreliable interference estimates may be disregarded, because their subtraction from the signal might even increase interference. Consequently, the interference cancellation method achieves better results when those interference estimates that correspond well with the actual interference are cancelled. Furthermore, a signal having a low confidence coefficient can be omitted from further

processing, for example from the latter stages of a multistage receiver, which results in a simpler structure and an increase in computational efficiency.

Confidence coefficients have earlier been utilized in other parts of the receiver, and in using a Viterbi decoder, for example, they are calculated in association with detection, as well as, for example, in source decoding which has utilized coefficients calculated in channel decoding. Of earlier targets of application for confidence coefficients, reference can be made to: US 5181209, J. Hagenauer, P. Höher: A Viterbi algorithm with soft decision outputs, Proc. of IEEE Globecom 1989, Dallas, Texas, and P. Höher: TCM on frequency selective fading channels: comparison of soft output probabilistic equalizers, Proc. of IEEE Globecom 1990. The term soft output is in some publications used in a different sense than in this application. This application uses said term to refer to a confidence coefficient of grouping while, for example, the publication D. Brady, J. Catipovic: An adaptive soft-decision multiuser receiver for underwater acoustical channels, 26th Asilomar Conference on Signals, Systems & Computers, 1992 uses it in reference to heuristic scaling.

The method according to the invention enables, due to the more efficient interference cancellation, a narrower frequency re-use spacing in TDMA systems, for example, which in turn increases system capacity.

In the following, the invention will be described in closer detail with reference to the examples of the accompanying drawings, in which

figure 1 is a block diagram illustration of the structure of a receiver according to the invention,

figure 2 illustrates in closer detail, by means of a block diagram, the implementation of interference cancellation method according to the invention,

figure 3 shows, by means of a block diagram, an alternative structure of a receiver according to the invention, and

figure 4 illustrates the structure of a multistage receiver.

The confidence coefficient in this invention is associated with detected symbols. It depends on the distribution of the received signal, which distribution in turn depends on, among other things, the symbol alphabet, transmission path and signal processing within the receiver. The distribution of the received signal particularly depends on the strength of multipath propagated signals, channel status, and correlations between the received symbols. In CDMA systems, interference cancellation methods are above all based on optimum or sub-optimum decision rules which take into account the correlations between the symbols of the various users.

The confidence coefficient can be generated by means of, for example, maximum a posterior (MAP) or MUSE criteria. Typically, the detection confidence is judged by estimating the probability of an erroneous decision:

$$P_{ERR} = Prob \{ \hat{S} \neq S_k \mid r \},$$

in which S_k denotes the symbol transmitted, $\hat{S}$ is the estimated symbol and r the signal supplied to the detector. The symbols are naturally defined by a way appropriate for the application. It is, however, included in the symbol alphabet generally used in the transmitter. It may also describe a multidimensional symbol vector whose kth coordinate is included in the symbol alphabet of the kth user. Generally speaking, then, the confidence coefficient is a function of the distribution of detected symbols or parameters of the distributions.

8

The received low-pass filtered signal can generally
be represented by the equation

$$r_{m,n}(t) = S_n \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} x_{n,k} q_{m,n}(t-kT) + n_{m,n}(t)'$$

5   in which T is the duration of the signal, $x_{n,k}$ is the source
symbol of the nth user at the moment of time k, and

$$q_{m,n}(t) = c_I(t-t_n) \otimes h_{m,n}(t) \otimes c_R(t)$$

10   is the convolution of the impulse responses or the
transmitter filter, multipath channel and receive filter,
respectively, on the mth diversity branch from the nth
source. The total signal received by the mth diversity
15   branch is then

$$r_m(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} r_{m,n}(t) + n_m(t)'$$

in which $n_m(t)$ denotes noise. In the simplest case m=1.
It is assumed without effecting generality that the
20   index of the desired user is zero, n=0. The method can
correspondingly be applied to the other users. The
interfering signal components are divided into two parts,
the dominant components Nd and noise components Nn, and N
= Nd + Nn. In the receiver, attempt is made for removing
25   the influence of the dominant noise components. The noise
components comprise those interfering signal components
that are weak as to their strength, and whose effect in
the interference cancellation may be ignored by
considering them as noise-like interference. The total
30   signal illustrated in the formula above can be shown in
the form

$$r_m(t) = r_{m,o}(t) + \sum_{n=1}^{Nd} r_{m,n}(t) + \sum_{n=Nd+1}^{N} r_{m,n}(t) + n_m(t)$$

$$= r_{m,o}(t) + I_{Nd} + I_{Nn} + n_m(t),$$

in which $\underline{I_{Nd}}$ comprises the sum signal of the dominant
interfering signal components and $\underline{I_{Nn}}$ the sum of
interference components classified as noise components. In
the receiver, detection is desired of the transmitted
symbols $x_{n,k}$ from the received signal, which is illustrated
above. If the interference components $\underline{I_{Nd}}$ and $\underline{I_{Nn}}$ are small,
the reception can be carried out by conventional single
user reception methods, as is done in the GSM system, for
example. As the co-channel interference increases as a
result of reducing frequency re-use distances, more
efficient methods will be required.

In the preferred embodiment of the method according
to the invention, interference cancellation is carried out
by subtracting from the desired signal the estimates of
the dominant interfering signal, the estimates being
weighted by the confidence coefficient of the estimates.
The calculation for the estimates of the dominant
interfering signal can be represented by

$$\hat{I}_{Nd} = \hat{S}_n \sum \hat{x}_{n,k} \hat{q}_{m,n}(t-kT) g_{n,k}$$

where $\underline{g_{n,k}}$ is the confidence coefficient of the estimate of
the interfering signal, and

$$\hat{q}_{m,n} = c_t(t-\hat{t}_m) \otimes \hat{h}_{m,n}(t) \otimes c_r(t)$$

is the convolution described earlier, where $\hat{h}_{m,n}$ and $\hat{t}_m$ are
channel estimates.

The method can in a simple way be applied by, for
example, detecting the symbols of all the dominant
interfering signals and calculating a confidence

coefficient for the estimates according to how accurate the obtained estimate is considered to be. Following this, the interfering signal is regenerated, multiplied by the confidence coefficient and subtracted from the received transmission. The confidence coefficient may obtain values between the range [0,1] so that if the estimate is considered as unreliable the coefficient value is close to zero, and if the estimate is considered as reliable the coefficient value is close to one. If all the interference estimates have a high confidence coefficient, it is possible to cancel the interference almost entirely, but if they all have a low confidence coefficient there is practically no interference cancellation at all. This way it is possible to avoid a situation where an interference calculated on the basis of a wrong estimate is subtracted from the signal, leading in fact to an increase in interference. In the solution according to the invention, the operation of the receiver automatically alternates between that of a single user receiver and an interference cancellation receiver depending on confidence coefficients obtained at any given moment of time.

The confidence coefficient may be calculated, for example, in association with a channel decoder. If a Viterbi decoder is employed by the receiver, the confidence coefficient is obtained without any additional procedures, because it is calculated anyway and utilized in enhancing the operation of the source decoder.

The method according to the invention can also be applied to a multistage receiver structure in which interference cancellation method utilizing confidence coefficients according to the invention is applied to the first or latter stages. On every cycle of multistage detection, a number of interference terms are separately estimated, which interference terms are subtracted from the received signal according to, for example, the

following formulas, again assuming that n=0:

$$\hat{I}_{Nd}^{(i)} = \hat{S}_n^{(i)} \sum \hat{x}_{n,k}^{(i)} \hat{q}_{m,n}^{(i)} (t-kT) g_{n,k}^{(i)}$$

$$r_m(t)^{(i)} = r_{m,o}(t) + I_{Nd} + \hat{I}_{Nd}^{(i)} + n_m(t)$$

in which symbols $\hat{x}_{n,k}^{(i)}$, $\hat{q}_{m,n}^{(i)}$ defining channel parameters and
the confidence coefficients $g_{n,k}^{(i)}$ have been estimated by the
desired method from the signal $r^{(i-1)}$. Typically, initial
values are at first estimated for the channel parameters
$\hat{q}_{m,n}^{(0)}$, which are used in detection of symbols $\hat{x}_{n,k}^{(0)}$. At the
initial stage these variables are estimated from the
signal subject to interference, which results in their
reliability being typically bad.

In the estimation, a training sequence can be
utilized, i.e. a known symbol sequence in the received
signal, improving the performance of channel estimation.
Thereby, as the symbols are known, it is possible to a
priori set the confidence coefficient value to 1.

As soon as $\hat{q}_{m,n}^{(i)}$ has been calculated, the symbols
$\hat{x}_{n,k}^{(i)}$ can be detected by using the selected decision rule
for the selected symbol alphabet. The symbol alphabet may,
for example, be BPSK, QPSK or some other multidimensional
sequential symbol. In the CDMA system, $\hat{x}_{n,k}^{(i)}$ may, for
example, be a modulated spreading sequence.

Figure 1 is a block diagram illustration of the
structure of a receiver according to the invention. The
receiver of the invention illustrated in the figure
comprises an antenna 10 by means of which the received
signal is fed to the radio frequency parts 11 in which the
radio frequency signal is transferred onto an intermediate
frequency. From the radio frequency parts, the signal is

fed to an analog/digital converter 12 in which the received analog signal is converted to digital form. The converted signal is further fed to a detection means 13 in which estimates of the received signal are detected for

5   the received symbols. In the receiver according to the invention, the confidence coefficient for the detected symbols is also calculated in the means 13. The estimates and the calculated confidence coefficients are further fed to an interference cancellation means 14 in which,

10   according to the preferred embodiment of the invention, the interference estimates are multiplied by the confidence coefficient and subtracted from the desired signal. The purged signal is further fed to the other parts of the receiver. The receiver of the invention can

15   be used in both the base station and the subscriber end terminal in a cellular mobile communication system. Naturally, the receiver according to the invention also comprises other components than those described above, such as filters and amplifiers.

20   · The interference cancellation according to the invention can advantageously be carried out in a detection-interference cancellation block of figure 2 stage by stage. The received signal 28, which has been converted into digital form, is fed to the detection means

25   20 in which initial estimates are calculated for the received signals. In means 21, a confidence coefficient is calculated for the estimates, the value of which confidence coefficient describes how well the estimate is assumed to represent the transmitted symbol. The detected

30   signals are regenerated again in means 22, after which the regenerated signals are multiplied by corresponding confidence coefficients in a multiplying means 23. Following this, the interfering signals that have been regenerated and weighted by coefficients are fed as a

35   negative input to a summing means 24 whose second,

positive, input is the original received signal delayed by delay means 25, and in which summing means 24 the weighted interfering signals are subtracted from the original transmission. Thus, the purged signal 26 is further fed to
5   the second detection means 27 in which the desired signal is estimated again.

Figure 3 illustrates a second possible way to implement a receiver according to the invention. The receiver of the figure comprises means 30 by which the
10   received signals are divided in several groups each comprising at least two signals. The receiver further comprises detection means 31, 35, 39 for carrying out in each group a simultaneous detection for the signals from the received transmission by any known multiuser detection
15   method. The receiver further comprises calculating means 31, 35, 39 in which a confidence coefficient is calculated for the detected signals. Said calculating means can be comprised in connection with said detection means or separate from them. The receiver also comprises means 32,
20   36 for regenerating the detected signals again and multiplying the signals by the corresponding confidence coefficients. The group detection means 31, 35, 39 have been grouped so that each group is consecutively detected so that in the summing means 34, 38 of the receiver it is
25   possible to subtract the signals weighted by the confidence coefficients of the groups already detected from the received transmission prior to detecting the subsequent group. A receiver structure utilizing the group detection illustrated above is in closer detail described
30   in the Finnish patent application 943196 which is herein incorporated as reference.

Figure 4 illustrates the structure of a multistage receiver by means of a block diagram. The multistage receiver comprises several detection stages 40, 41, 42
35   commonly connected in series. In such a case, the latter

detection stages utilize the detection carried out already at the earlier stages, especially as interference cancellation is being carried out. In the multistage receiver of the invention, one of the earlier detection stages 40, 41, 42, at least, comprises the means 14, described above, to utilize in the multiple access interference cancellation confidence coefficients calculated for the estimates of the interfering signals.

Although the invention is above described with reference to the examples of the attached drawings, it is obvious that the invention is not restricted to them, but it may be varied within the inventive idea of the attached claims.

For example, the signal regenerated in the CDMA systems is narrow-band if it is subtracted from a narrow-band signal. Generally speaking, regeneration and subtraction should be matched to the method used for processing the received signal in the receiver prior to the interference cancellation utilizing confidence coefficients according to the invention.

Claims

1. An interference cancellation method in a data transmission system which utilizes a multiple access interference cancellation method in which estimates of interfering signals are subtracted from the desired signal, and in which method a confidence coefficient is calculated for the estimates obtained from the received signal, c h a r a c t e r i z e d in that confidence coefficients calculated for the estimates of the interfering signals are utilized in the multiple access interference cancellation method.

2. A method as claimed in claim 1, c h a r a c t e r i z e d in that the estimates of the interfering signals are weighted by a confidence coefficient calculated for each signal prior to subtracting them from the desired signal.

3. A method as claimed in claim 1, c h a r a c t e r i z e d in that the confidence coefficient calculated for the estimates obtains values between the range [0,1] so that if the reliability of the estimate is high, the coefficient value is close to 1, and if the reliability of the estimate is low, the coefficient value is close to 0.

4. A method as claimed in claim 1, c h a r a c t e r i z e d in that the received signals are divided into several groups in the receiver, each comprising at least two signals, and that in each group simultaneous detection is carried out for the signals from the received transmission, and that each group is detected consecutively, and that the signals of groups already detected are regenerated and subtracted from the received transmission prior to detecting the subsequent group, and that the signals detected prior to the subtraction are weighted by a confidence coefficient calculated for each

signal.

5. A method as claimed in claim 1, c h a r a c t e r i z e d in that the signal estimation utilizes multistage detection.

6. A receiver of a data transmission method, which receiver comprises means (14) for carrying out multiple access interference cancellation to the received signal, and means (21) for calculating a confidence coefficient for the estimates obtained from the received signal, c h a r a c t e r i z e d in that the receiver comprises means (14) for utilizing in the multiple access interference cancellation the confidence coefficients calculated for the estimates of the interfering signals.

7. A receiver as claimed in claim 6, c h a r a c t e r i z e d in that the receiver comprises

means (23) for weighting the estimates of the interfering signals by a confidence coefficient calculated for each estimate, and

means (24) for subtracting the estimates of the interfering signals from the desired signal.

8. A receiver as claimed in claim 6, c h a r a c t e r i z e d in that the receiver comprises means (30) for dividing the received signals into several groups each comprising at least two signals, and

means (31, 35, 39) for carrying out in each group a simultaneous estimation and detection for the signals from the received transmission, and

means (31, 35, 39) for consecutive detection of each group, and

means (32, 36) for regenerating the signals of groups already detected, and

means (32, 36) for weighting the signals already detected by a confidence coefficient calculated for each signal, and

means (34, 38) for subtracting the signals of

groups already detected from the received transmission
prior to detection of the subsequent group.

      9. A receiver as claimed in claim 6,
c h a r a c t e r i z e d in that the receiver comprises
several detection stages (40-42).

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Fig. 4